




UNIVERSITAS ISLAM SUMATERA UTARA

2nd ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE



Organized by Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia
Jln. Sisingamangaraja Teladan Medan, Phone: 061-786991, 081260449749, 081269649443
Website: www.aicll2019.sastra.uisu.ac.id E-mail: aicll@sastra.uisu.ac.id

REVIEWER'S VALUATION REPORT OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Reviewers' Initial	mg		
Title of The Manuscript	SUGGESTING CRITICAL-THINKING AND PROBLEM-SOLVING METHOD INTO TEACHING ENGLISH READING TO EFL STUDENTS IN INDONESIA		
Name of the Author(s)	1. Idris Sadri 2. Rahmah Fithriani 3. Saidurrahman 4. Maryati Salmiah 5. Sholihatul Hamidah		
Evaluation Criteria	Yes	Partially	No
ARTICLE: Are the concerns in this article important to the field of language, literature or language teaching?	✓		
TITLE: Is the title clear and appropriate for the content of the manuscript?		✓	
ABSTRACT: Does the abstract represent the article clearly and effectively, and give insight to the research?	✓		
INTRODUCTION: Does the introduction provide meaningful purpose(s) to the manuscript?	✓		
LITERATURE REVIEW: Is the literature review appropriate and adequate?			✓
METHODOLOGY: Is the research method set systematically? Are the techniques used appropriate for the collection and analysis of the data?			✓
FINDINGS/RESULTS: Is (Are) The finding(s)/result(s) exposed clearly? Are the tables and figures, if any, arranged and explained appropriately?		✓	
DISCUSSION: Is the discussion clearly as well as deeply conducted?	✓		
CONCLUSION: Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	✓		
REFERENCES: Are the references current and sufficient? Does the manuscript follow the APA (6 th Ed., 2009) citations and style guide?	✓		
GRAMMAR AND COHESION: Is the language clear and understandable? Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	✓ ✓		
FORMAT: Does the format of manuscript follow AICLL UISU 2019 submission guidelines?		✓	
DECISION FROM REVIEWER (tick where appropriate):			
Accepted without revision			
Accepted with minor revision			
Accepted with major revision	✓		
Rejected due to poor quality			
Rejected due to unrelated to the conference fields.			
Rejected due to ethical issues			
REVIEWER'S COMMENTS (if any):			

--